

# Designing for the Future:

Understanding Lumen Depreciation and Light Loss Factors

Lightfair


June 5, 2014


Michael P Royer, Ph.D.


Lighting Engineer
Pacific Northwest National Laboratory


- All lighting systems decline in lumen output over time due to reductions in lamp emissions and changing surface properties lamp, luminaire, and room, if applicable.
- This is accounted for by using a Light Loss Factor (LLF) during the design process.
- A Light Loss Factor is a multiplier that is used to predict future performance (maintained illuminance) based on the initial properties of a lighting system.
- LLF = 1 Expected Depreciation
- The Total LLF is determined by multiplying the independent effects of multiple factors.














# **Light Loss Factors: Individual Components**

#### Recoverable Factors


- Lamp Lumen
   Depreciation (LLD)
- Luminaire Dirt Depreciation (LDD)
- Lamp Burnout (LBO)
- Room Surface Dirt Depreciation

#### Non-Recoverable Factors

- Luminaire Ambient Temperature
- Heat Extraction Thermal
- Voltage-to-Luminaire
- Ballast
- Ballast-Lamp Photometric
- Equipment Operating
- Lamp Position or Tilt




## **Lumen Depreciation for Conventional Sources**






### **Lamp Lumen Depreciation (LLD) Factor – Conventional\***

\*Typical practice




### **Lamp Lumen Depreciation (LLD) Factor – Conventional\***

### \*Typical practice






# **Example Lumen Depreciation for One LED Package**





# **Example Lumen Depreciation for LED Packages**





## **Lamp Lumen Depreciation (LLD) Factor – LED**

If rated life is based on 70% (or any percent) of lumen output, then mean lumens will always be a percentage (e.g., 88%) of initial.



## Lamp Lumen Depreciation (LLD) Factor – LED

≤0.70

...according to the IES Handbook

...regardless of actual performance

...applies the same value to all LED products

If light level is not important, LLD can be calculated using mean:initial lumens.


#### **Important Considerations:**

- Package, array, module, or luminaire?
- LED material properties
- Junction temperature
  - Ambient temperature
  - Thermal management

- Driver current
- Power quality
- Component materials degradation
- Cycling(?)
- Environmental conditions(?)




# **Example: Lumen Maintenance**





# **Example: Predicted Light Level**






#### Alternatives to 0.70?

- There is no easy solution because there is no industry standard metric and test method that captures all the main potential failure modes for LEDs
- It is possible to use a "design lifetime"
- Important to allow for variable LLDs among LED products
  - Incentive to manufacturers to improve lumen maintenance
  - Incentive to designers to choose better products
  - Potential energy savings are substantial
  - Higher LLFs = lower system cost, energy savings, but also potential for insufficient lighting
  - Lower LLFs = increased energy use, overlighting, glare, light trespass, etc.




# **Example: Predicted Light Level (Varying LLDs)**



- 50,000 hour "design lifetime"
- Use mean lumens for LLD calcs for LED and others
- Acknowledge that light level is predicted to drop below the target for a substantial proportion of the system's lifetime
- All types of sources are treated the same
- Products are evaluated on their own merits



# **Example: Predicted Light Level (Varying LLDs)**



- 50,000 hour "design lifetime"
- Use end-of-life lumens for LLD calcs for LED and others
- Light level is predicted to exceed the criterion for the entire target lifetime (or product rated lifetime, if shorter)
- All types of sources are treated the same
- Products are evaluated on their own merits



### **Conclusions**

- Light Loss Factors (LLF) are an important aspect of lighting calculations
- The Lamp Lumen Depreciation (LLD) factor is an important part of LLF, but it is not calculated in a consistent way for different technologies
  - This is due in part to differing methods used for characterizing lifetime and lumen maintenance
- Under existing prescribed methods, there is no way to differentiate between LED products
- The IES-prescribed maximum LLD of 0.70 may be too conservative for some LED products, leading to excessive energy use
- Using an alternative method may allow for greater energyefficiency, as well as product competition



### **More Information**

LEUKOS, 10:77–86, 2014 Copyright @ Illuminating Engineering Society

ISSN: 1550-2724 print / 1550-2716 online DOI: 10.1080/15502724.2013.855613



# Lumen Maintenance and Light Loss Factors: Consequences of Current Design Practices for LEDs

#### Michael Royer

Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Portland, Oregon, USA ABSTRACT Light loss factors are used to help lighting systems meet quantitative design criteria throughout the life of the installation, but they also carry ancillary consequences, such as influencing first cost and energy use. As the type of light sources being specified continues to evolve, it is an appropriate time to evaluate the methods used in calculating light loss factors and understand the broad effects of performance attributes like lumen maintenance. Because of the unique operating characteristics of light emitting diodes (LEDs) and lack of a comprehensive lifetime rating—as well as the problematic relationship between lifetime and lumen maintenance—determining an appropriate lamp lumen depreciation (LLD) factor for LED products is difficult. The IES recommends using an LLD of not greater than 0.70 when quantity of light is an important design consideration. This approach deviates from the typical practice for conventional sources of using the ratio of mean to initial lumen output and may misrepresent actual performance, increase energy use, and inhibit com-



#### **Discussion**

- What about products with a 100,000+ hour lifetime? Will LDD become more of a factor?
- What about products that claim no lumen depreciation? Is an LLD of 1.0 acceptable?
- What about products that maintain output by changing power consumption?
- What about serviceable products, where everything could be replaced but the LEDs kept?
- What types of products (e.g., remote phosphor?) will have better lumen maintenance?
- What about operating conditions? Are predictions good enough?
- What are the best practices for the specifier? What is an effective balance of liability/performance and responsible energy use?

